NJC



View Article Online

LETTER



Cite this: New J. Chem., 2015, 39, 5080

Received (in Montpellier, France) 2nd February 2015, Accepted 24th March 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5nj00284b

www.rsc.org/njc

Hydrothermal synthesis and magnetic behaviour of beta-Li₃VF₆ and Na₃VF₆

Lei Ge,^a Long Yuan,^a Keke Huang,^a Wenchun Feng,^b Hairui Fang^a and Shouhua Feng^{*a}

Fluorides and oxide-fluorides play important roles in several technological fields. Herein, we have synthesized the crystals of β -Li₃VF₆ and Na₃VF₆ under mild hydrothermal conditions without any hydrofluoric acid. These two compounds were well-crystallized and showed antiferromagnetic interactions with isolated magnetic units.

Fluorides and oxide-fluorides have significant importance in the development of many new technologies such as luminescence,¹⁻³ catalysis,⁴⁻⁶ energy conversion and storage.⁷⁻⁹ Most of these applications are impacting various key points of modern life and are irreplaceable. Therefore, developing more secure and efficient synthetic strategies is a priority.

Many methods have been applied to the preparation of fluorides such as solid-state reactions,^{10–12} sol–gel precursors,^{13–16} flux growth,^{17,18} hydrothermal/solvothermal processing^{19–24} and a molten-salt electrolysis method.²⁵ Among these methods, hydrothermal or solvothermal process provides an attractive synthetic route for the synthesis of a meta-stable phase or materials with numerous advantages, such as low growth temperature, one-step synthesis procedure, easy handling and controllable particle size distribution.^{26,27} Under mild hydrothermal conditions, complex fluorides, such as perovskite-type fluorides (LiBaF₃ and KMgF₃), the scheelite-type fluorides (LiYF₄, NaYF₄, KYF₄, KMgF₄) and double perovskite vanadium fluorides ((NH₄)₂NaVF₆ and Na₃VF₆),²⁸⁻³⁰ have been synthesised by our group.

However, the traditional fluoride hydrothermal process involves an excessive use of HF acid, which results in the presence of an extreme stoichiometric excess of F^- ions.^{24,30} Due to the HF being both highly corrosive and toxic, the operator should be well trained and protected during the entire process. After the reaction, the unreacted surplus F^- ions are left in Teflon-lined autoclaves,

^b Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor 48109,

which increases the risk for the operator and creates difficulties during the treatment of waste liquid by-products. Taking an example of a traditional Na_3VF_6 hydrothermal process,³⁰ for a yield of up to 100%, at least 41 mmol F⁻ ions are present for every 1 mmol of product obtained due to the massive addition of 2 mL of HF acid. The health risks for F⁻ ions in water include chronic toxic effects on teeth, food intake, bones and soft tissues.³¹⁻³⁴

HF acid as a common mineralizer are wildly used in many fluoride and oxide-fluoride hydrothermal synthesis processes, which plays an important role in the promotion of dissolution, crystal nucleation and phase growth.^{28,29,35-37} Thus, it is nearly impossible to remove or even to reduce the dosage of HF acid in traditional hydrothermal synthesis routes. To solve this problem, two methods can be considered: (i) to find a mineralizer substitute to HF acid and (ii) to adopt a new chemical reaction to overcome the limitations in traditional experiments. Herein, we present a reduction reaction of V ions from +5 to +3 with (E)-2-butenedioic acid $(C_4H_4O_4)$ to reach our goal (eqn (1)) without the addition of HF acid. The goal was to provide information towards a more green hydrothermal fluorine chemistry with lower F⁻ ion residue. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) showed that V^{5+} was reduced to V^{3+} during the synthesis process. A temperature-dependent magnetization study indicates antiferromagnetic interactions in both the samples.

$$VO_3^- + 3A^+ + 6F^- + 4H^+ + 1/6C_4H_4O_4 \rightarrow A_3VF_6 + 2/3CO_2 + 7/3H_2O$$
(1)

The room-temperature powder XRD pattern of β -Li₃VF₆ is shown in Fig. 1a, which is well indexed in a monoclinic unit cell of the space group *C*2/*c* (ICDD-PDF 83-1433), while the Na₃VF₆ roomtemperature powder XRD pattern is well indexed in a monoclinic unit cell of space group *P*2₁/*n* (ICDD-PDF 29-1286), as shown in Fig. 1b. Fig. 2a and b show the schematic crystal structures of β -Li₃VF₆ and Na₃VF₆, respectively. In both the structures, VF₆ units are separated from each other with Li⁺ or Na⁺ inserted between the neighbouring VF₆ octahedrals.

^a State Key Laboratory of Inorganic Synthesis and Preparative Chemistry, College of Chemistry, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, P. R. China. E-mail: shfeng@mail.jlu.edu.cn; Fax: +86-431-85168624; Tel: +86-431-85168661

USA



Fig. 1 X-ray diffraction patterns and Pawley refinement result of cell parameters of β -Li_3VF_6 (a) and Na_3VF_6 (b).

The SEM images of the as-prepared compounds β -Li₃VF₆ and Na₃VF₆ are shown in Fig. 3. Both the compounds were wellcrystalized with definite shapes and exposed facets of the final products. The shape of β -Li₃VF₆ crystals mainly appear as twingrown hexagonal prisms with pyramids at each tip. The crystal sizes were 100 to 200 µm (Fig. 2a), which appear to be a little smaller than those previously reported by a microwave solvothermal process (*ca.* 400 µm),⁴² while in the reported 1:3 water to ethanol ratio reaction process, spherical raspberry-like agglomerates with a size of *ca.* 450 nm were obtained.²⁴ The Na₃VF₆ crystal is found to have a *ca.* 200 µm octahedron form (Fig. 2b), which is larger than the Na₃VF₆ previously synthesised by He *et al.* (*ca.* 100 µm) with a shape of a regular polyhedron.³⁰

Surface charging has been shown in Na₃VF₆ crystals (bright areas on the crystal surface) by obtaining SEM images with an accelerating voltage of only 5 kV and electron dosage below 21 pA. This result may indicate a poorer electronic conductivity for Na₃VF₆ crystals than that of β -Li₃VF₆.

During the preparation process, pH value played an important role in the crystallization process. Both the products can be prepared in a weakly acidic environment. In the synthesis process of Na₃VF₆, all the reagents can afford sufficient amount of H⁺ to drive the reaction. No more acid was needed. However, for the synthesis of β -Li₃VF₆, the pH value was required to be adjusted to 4–6 to carry out the process. But, the precipitation dissolution equilibrium will be gradually destroyed with the



Fig. 2 Schematic of crystal structures (view along *b* axis) for β -Li₃VF₆ (a) and Na₃VF₆ (b), respectively.



Fig. 3 SEM images of as-prepared β -Li₃VF₆ (a) and Na₃VF₆ (b) crystals.

increase of H⁺ ions. When the pH value was less than 3, no crystallization reaction occurred, and only a green solution containing Li⁺ (or Na⁺), V³⁺, F⁻, and NH₄⁺ ions was obtained. When the reaction environment was basic, LiF and Na₂VF₅O impurities were detected.

The reaction temperature also played an important role in the formation and crystallinity of the compounds. Impurities could be detected when the reaction temperature was lower than 240 $^{\circ}$ C.

To compare the residual F^- ions in this work with a reference,³⁰ we made a list for the requisite amount of F^- ions required to react with 1 mmol V and the residual F^- amount in the preparation process (Table 1).

X-ray photoelectron (XPS) spectra of β -Li₃VF₆ and Na₃VF₆ are shown in Fig. 4. No splitting of V2p_{3/2} peak is observed.

Table 1 The difference in the amount of residual F⁻ ions against the reference



Both the compounds exhibit the same V2p_{3/2} peak at 517.8 eV. This indicates that the element V in these two compounds existed in the same oxidation state. From the molecular formula, we can infer that the oxidation state is +3. We can find that most of the oxides that contain V^{3+} present the V2p_{3/2} peak section in the range of 514.1–517.2 eV,^{38,39} which is lower than the value of 517.8 eV. This phenomenon is mainly due to the coordination environment of V^{3+} . Because F has a higher electronegativity than O, the V element will reflect a higher binding energy when it is coordinated with F⁻ rather than with O^{2-} . A similar phenomenon can also be found in CuBr₂–CuCl₂–CuF₂ series. With the increase of electronegativity from Br to F, the binding energy showed a same shift, which was about 4 eV higher.^{40,41} Thus, the V2p_{3/2} peak of these two compounds at 517.8 eV is reliable.

The molar magnetic susceptibilities, χ_m , of β -Li₃VF₆ and Na₃VF₆ were investigated in the temperature range from 4 K to 300 K with the heating rate at 4 K min⁻¹ and an applied field of 5 kOe, and are shown in Fig. 5; moreover, the plots of χ_m^{-1} *versus T* are also listed in Fig. 5. The compounds show the existence of antiferromagnetic interactions. At a higher temperature range, their magnetic behaviour obeys the Curie–Weiss law $\chi = C/(T - \theta)$ with C = 1.165 cm³ K mol⁻¹, $\theta = -68$ K for β -Li₃VF₆, and C = 0.7502 cm³ K mol⁻¹, $\theta = -62$ K for Na₃VF₆. The magnetic properties of β -Li₃VF₆ have not been reported previously, and the effective moment, μ_{eff} for compound β -Li₃VF₆ was calculated



Fig. 5 The magnetization curves of $\beta\text{-}Li_3VF_6$ (a) and Na_3VF_6 (b) in an applied field of 5 kOe.

to be 2.76 $\mu_{\rm eff}/\mu_{\rm B}$ by fitting the plot of $\chi_{\rm m}^{-1}$ versus *T* at a higher temperature zone, which is very close to the value of the spinonly state V³⁺ (2.828 $\mu_{\rm eff}/\mu_{\rm B}$). However, for Na₃VF₆, $\mu_{\rm eff}$ was 2.23 $\mu_{\rm B}$, which appears to be slightly lower than the value of the spin-only state V³⁺ at room temperature. This phenomenon was explained by an antiferromagnetism super-exchange model observed in VF₃ at 18 K by Alter *et al.*⁴³ This value is still lower than 2.573 $\mu_{\rm B}$, which has been previously reported by He *et al.* (ICDD-PDF 26-1493). We suggest that the slightly different angle of V–F–Na bond maybe the reason for the lower value. Because the mechanism of the super-exchange interaction between the transition metals *via* fluorine is changed, the magnetic properties can be considered to be dramatically changed by the V–F–Na bond.³⁰

In summary, by a reduction reaction, we have successfully synthesized β -Li₃VF₆ and Na₃VF₆ in a mild hydrothermal environment without the use of hydrofluoric acid. β -Li₃VF₆ and Na₃VF₆ were characterized by X-ray diffraction and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. The presence of a weakly acidic environment and (*E*)-2-butenedioic acid were the two key points for their synthesis. The compounds showed the existence of antiferromagnetic interactions.

Experimental

All the reagents were used as received. Single crystals of β -Li₃VF₆ and Na₃VF₆ were synthesized from a mixture of NH₄VO₃, NH₄HF₂, LiCl (or NaCl), (E)-2-butenedioic acid and deionized water. After heating in a water bath with magnetic stirring for about 10 min at 80 °C, the pH was adjusted to 4–6 with hydrochloric acid. Then, the mixture was transferred to a 15 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave, which was filled to about 80% of its capacity. The autoclave was heated to 240 °C for 24 h and cooled to room temperature naturally. Then, the crystalline products were washed with deionized water and ethanol to dislodge water-soluble impurities and organic molecules. Finally, the products were dried in air at ambient temperature. Besides (E)-2-butenedioic acid, all the reagents were added in a stoichiometric ratio, with 0.254 g LiCl, 0.234 g NH₄VO₃, 0.342 g NH_4HF_2 , 0.07 g (E)-2-butenedioic for β -Li₃VF₆ synthesis process and 0.254 g 0.352 g NaCl, 0.234 g NH₄VO₃, 0.342 g NH₄HF₂, 0.07 g (*E*)-2-butenedioic for Na_3VF_6 synthesis process.

Product composition was determined by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) data, which were collected on a Rigaku D/Max 2500V/PC X-ray diffractometer with Cu-Kα radiation ($\lambda = 1.54718$ Å) at 50 kV and 200 mA with a scan speed of 1° min⁻¹ at room temperature. The step scanning was in the angle range of 5° $\leq 2\theta \leq 80^\circ$ with an increment of 0.02°. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images were obtained with a Helios NanoLab 600i dual beam system, FEI Company, America. *M*–*T* magnetization measurements were performed on an MPMS (SQUID) VSM, Quantum Design, America. The measuring temperature range was from 4 to 300 K, and the applied field was 5 kOe. XPS spectra were acquired with a Thermo Scientific ESCALAB 250 X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (XPS) Microprobe, America.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants 90922034, 21131002 and 21201075) and the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (SRFDP Grant 20110061130005). Gratitude to Wei Xu, engineer of State Key Laboratory of Inorganic Synthesis and Preparative Chemistry, College of Chemistry, Jilin University, for the magnetization measurements and basic discussion.

Notes and references

- 1 M. M. Lezhnina, T. Jüstel, H. Kätker, D. U. Wiechert and U. H. Kynast, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2006, 16, 935-942.
- 2 P. Ghosh and A. Patra, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 112, 3223-3231.
- 3 J. Yang, Y. Deng, Q. Wu, J. Zhou, H. Bao, Q. Li, F. Zhang, F. Li, B. Tu and D. Zhao, Langmuir, 2010, 26, 8850-8856.
- 4 S. A. A. Shah, H. Dorn, A. Voigt, H. W. Roesky, E. Parisini, H. G. Schmidt and M. Noltemeyer, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 3176-3181.
- 5 B. L. Pagenkopf and E. M. Carreira, Chem. Eur. J., 1999, 5, 3437-3442.
- 6 J. A. Birrell, J. N. Desrosiers and E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 13872-13875.
- 7 M. K. Song, Y. T. Kim, J. M. Fenton, H. R. Kunz and H. W. Rhee, J. Power Sources, 2003, 117, 14-21.
- 8 H. Han, W. Liu, J. Zhang and X. Zhao, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2005, 15, 1940-1944.
- 9 B. Ke, W. Chen, N. Ni, Y. Cheng, C. Dai, H. Dinh and B. Wang, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 2494-2496.
- 10 P. R. Slater and R. K. B. Gover, J. Mater. Chem., 2001, 11, 2035-2038.
- 11 J. H. Choy, J. Y. Kim, S. J. Kim and J. S. Sohn, Chem. Mater., 2001, 13, 906-912.
- 12 A. Caramanian, J. P. Souron, P. Gredin and A. de Kozak, J. Solid State Chem., 2001, 159, 234-238.
- 13 U. Groß, S. Rüdiger and E. Kemnitz, Solid State Sci., 2007, 9, 838-842.
- 14 S. Lepouter, D. Boyer, A. Pordevin, M. Doubois, V. Briois and R. Mahiou, J. Solid State Chem., 2007, 11, 3049-3057.
- 15 P. Y. Jia, J. Lin and M. Yu, J. Lumin., 2007, 122-123, 134-136.
- 16 Y. Yu, Y. Wang, D. Chen and F. Liu, Ceram. Int., 2008, 34, 2143-2145.
- 17 Y. Yue, Z. Hu and C. Chen, J. Cryst. Growth, 2008, 310, 1264-1267.
- 18 Y. Birol, J. Alloys Compd., 2007, 443, 94-98.

- 19 X. Wang, J. Zhuang, Q. Peng and Y. Li, Inorg. Chem., 2006, 45, 6661-6665.
- 20 Z. Jia, H. Su, S. Feng and C. Shi, Chin. Chem. Lett., 2001, 12, 1047-1050.
- 21 P. Parhi, J. Kramer and V. Manivannan, J. Mater. Sci., 2008, 43, 5540-5545.
- 22 H. Hu, Z. Chen, T. Cao, Q. Zhang, M. Yu, F. Li and C. Huang, Nanotechnology, 2008, 19, 375702.
- 23 R. Hua, Z. Jia, D. M. Xie and C. Shi, Chin. Chem. Lett., 2002, 13, 1021-1024.
- 24 A. Basa, E. Gonzalo, A. Kuhn and F. G. Alvarado, J. Power Sources, 2012, 207, 160-165.
- 25 Y. Kumashiro, S. Ozaki, K. Sato, Y. Kataoka, K. Hirata, T. Yokoyama, S. Nagatani and K. Kajiyama, J. Solid State Chem., 2004, 177, 537-541.
- 26 S. Sōmiya and B. Roy, Bull. Mater. Sci., 2000, 23, 453-460.
- 27 D. R. Modeshia and R. I. Walton, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2010, 39, 4303-4325.
- 28 C. Zhao, S. Feng, Z. Chao, C. Shi, R. Xu and J. Ni, Chem. Commun., 1996, 1641-1642.
- 29 C. Zhao, S. Feng, R. Xu, C. Shi and J. Ni, Chem. Commun., 1997, 945-946.
- 30 L. He, H. Yuan, K. Huang, C. Yan, G. Li, Q. He, Y. Yu and S. Feng, J. Solid State Chem., 2009, 182, 2208-2212.
- 31 R. M. Duckworth, Monogr. Oral Sci., 2013, 23, 125-139.
- 32 M. Makhanu, G. Opinya and R. J. Mutave, East Afr. Med. J., 2009, 86, 120-124.
- 33 J. R. Farley, J. E. Wergedal and D. J. Baylink, Science, 1983, 222, 330-332.
- 34 T. K. Das and A. K. Susheela, Environ. Res., 1993, 62, 14-18.
- 35 T. A. Albrecht, C. L. Stern and K. R. Poeppelmeier, Inorg. Chem., 2007, 46, 1704-1708.
- 36 S. Feng and R. Xu, Acc. Chem. Res., 2001, 34, 239-247.
- 37 V. K. Rao, M. A. Green, S. K. Pati and S. Natarajan, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2007, 111, 12700-12706.
- 38 B. Horvath, J. Strutz, J. Geyer-Lippmann and E. G. Horvath, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1981, 483, 181-192.
- 39 R. Gopalakrishnan, B. V. R. Chowdari and K. L. Tan, Solid State Ionics, 1992, 53-56, 1168-1171.
- 40 G. van der Laan, G. C. Westra, C. Haas and G. A. Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 1981, 23, 4369-4380.
- 41 M. A. van Veenendaal and G. A. Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 1994, 49, 3473-3482.
- 42 I. Gocheva, K. Chihara, S. Okada and J. Yamaki, Meeting Abstracts. The Electrochemical Society, 2011, 17, 1414.
- 43 V. E. Alter and R. Hoppe, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1975, 421, 110-120.